1	BEFORE THE ILLINOIS COMMERCE COMMISSION
2	ILLINOIS COMMERCE COMMISSION
3	BENCH SESSION
4	(PUBLIC UTILITY)
5	
6	Springfield, Illinois
7	Wednesday, May 16, 2012
8	
9	Met, pursuant to notice, at 10:30 a.m.
10	in Hearing Room A, First Floor, Leland Building, 527
11	East Capitol Avenue, Springfield, Illinois.
12	
13	PRESENT:
14	MR. DOUGLAS P. SCOTT, Chairman
15	MS. LULA M. FORD, Commissioner (Via audiovisual conference)
16	(
17	MS. ERIN M. O'CONNELL-DIAZ, Commissioner (Via teleconference)
18	MR. JOHN T. COLGAN, Commissioner
19	MS. ANN McCABE, Acting Commissioner
20	(Via audiovisual conference)
21	SULLIVAN REPORTING COMPANY, by Carla J. Boehl, Reporter
22	CSR #084-002710

## PROCEEDINGS

2	CHAIRMAN SCOTT: Pursuant to the provisions of
3	the Open Meetings Act, I now convene a regularly
4	scheduled Bench Session of the Illinois Commerce
5	Commission. With me in Springfield is Commissioner
6	Colgan, and with us in Chicago are Commissioner Ford
7	and Acting Commissioner McCabe. I am Chairman Scott.
8	We have a quorum.
9	We also have Commissioner
10	O'Connell-Diaz available by phone. Per our rules, we
11	will have to vote to allow Commissioner
12	O'Connell-Diaz to participate by phone. Is there a
13	motion to allow Commissioner O'Connell-Diaz to
14	participate by phone?
15	COMMISSIONER COLGAN: So moved.
16	CHAIRMAN SCOTT: Is there a second?
17	COMMISSIONER FORD: Second.
18	CHAIRMAN SCOTT: It's been moved and seconded.
19	All in favor say aye.
20	COMMISSIONERS: Aye.
21	CHAIRMAN SCOTT: Any opposed?
22	(No response.)

1 The vote is four to nothing, and 2 Commissioner O'Connell-Diaz may participate by phone. COMMISSIONER O'CONNELL-DIAZ: 3 Thank you. 4 CHAIRMAN SCOTT: Thank you. 5 Before moving into the agenda, according to Section 1700.10 of Title II of the 6 Administrative Code, this is the time we allow 7 8 members of the public to address the Commission. Members of the public wishing to address the 9 10 Commission must notify the Chief Clerk's Office at least 24 hours prior to the Commission meeting. 11 12 According to the Chief Clerk's Office 13 we have one request to speak at today's Bench 14 Session. A request to speak today comes from Allison 15 Fisher and Robert Garcia. Just as a reminder, you 16 will have three minutes for your presentation today. 17 I believe they are in Chicago? COMMISSIONER FORD: 18 Yes. CHAIRMAN SCOTT: Okay. So if you want to maybe 19 20 take one of the seats by the -- so that we can hear 21 you? 22 MS. FISHER: Is it possible to wait for Robert?

1 He is on his way. He just got a little bit lost coming off of the train. 2 3 (Pause.) 4 CHAIRMAN SCOTT: He is here, okay. That was 5 good timing. So take a seat up at the table. MR. GARCIA: Thank you. Am I on? 6 COMMISSIONER FORD: Yes. 7 MR. GARCIA: Good afternoon, ladies and 8 gentlemen. I apologize about the delay. You know, 9 10 with all the NATO stuff going on, I guess traffic is a bit crazy out there. I hope you guys are doing 11 12 very good today. 13 My name is Robert Garcia and I am a 14 resident of the southeast side of Chicago. I was 15 asked to come today to speak to you on behalf of the Environmental Justice Alliance of the Greater 16 17 Southeast Side of Chicago and thousands of Illinois residents who will be directly impacted by your 18 decisions on the proposed Leucadia, a/k/a Chicago 19 20 Clean Energy Gasification Plant. 21 I want to stress the name Leucadia to

you because ultimately you are being asked to make a

22

1 decision that will force Illinois consumers to foot 2 the bill for increased profits for this company's executives and shareholders. A newly released report 3 4 suggests this bill will cost each Illinois resident over a thousand dollars a year and the State of 5 Illinois billions of dollars over a single decade. 6 We have gotten over 6,000 comments 7 against this proposal from my fellow southeast side 8 residents, along with customers of Nicor and Ameren 9 10 across the State of Illinois, that I am submitting to you today for the record at this time. 11 12 You know, this proposal would be 13 laughably absurd if it wasn't for the millions of 14 dollars poured into marketing that has caused some 15 lawmakers into agreeing to it. How in the world can 16 someone ask you with a straight face to pay to build 17 a facility for them so they can charge you a high fixed rate for a product whose price is falling on 18 19 the open market? How can you rationally trust a 20 volatile company whose main holdings are in telecommunications, timber, plastics and casinos to 21 make us subsidize a new energy venture for them? 22 How

1 can you allow us to take the risk their shareholders refuse to take -- excuse me, refuse to take, and pay 2 more at a time when the State is cutting funding to 3 4 utility assistance programs? How can you believe 5 their coal, with all the scientific evidence showing otherwise, is somehow now a clean energy source? 6 Friends and members of the ICC, these 7 8 questions are what these professional con artists are asking you to blind yourself to, just like they are 9 10 trying to blind you to the impact that more coal in 11 our community will have.

12 I am submitting a collection of photos 13 to you, which are right here, from our community that show what we see and breathe on a daily basis. At a 14 15 time when our community is making strides towards 16 reinvigorating itself with work as a site of Illinois 17 Millennium Reserve, more dirty energy threatens this, along with other permanent jobs and opportunities 18 19 that a clean environment will create. New housing 20 developments, area tourism, along with local green 21 entrepreneurship will not succeed with growing coal 22 piles, trucks, traffic and increased health concerns.

1 You will be making a decision on this proposal that not only will affect the health and 2 lives of people of Chicago's southeast side 3 4 residents, but the cost that your children and their children, along with the State of Illinois, will have 5 to pay for a very long time to come. 6 Having spent five years working with 7 8 hundreds of people who are many struggling to pay

9 their utility bills, I urge you to reject this 10 proposal. Temporary jobs at the cost of degrading 11 the Millennium Reserve and all the opportunities this 12 presents to our community, along with growing health 13 risks and, most of all, the increased costs that our 14 fellow Illinois residents will have to pay will not 15 work.

Neither utility companies, the State of Illinois nor any of our friends or family should have to pay a bill that shareholders of the company that is profiting from it refuse to risk themselves. Ladies and gentlemen, please listen to your hearts, your minds and your reason and not the made-up economic models by a company that has never

1 done this before. There is more to the cost of a 2 project than projections of rising gas prices which, according to gas price indices over the past years, 3 4 are still falling. 5 I thank you very much for your time. Thank you, Mr. Garcia. 6 CHAIRMAN SCOTT: Thank 7 you, Ms. Fisher. 8 MR. GARCIA: We appreciate very much the opportunity to speak to you. 9 10 CHAIRMAN SCOTT: Thank you. That concludes the public presentation portion of today's Bench Session, 11 12 and we will move to the Transportation agenda. 13 (The Transportation 14 portion of the proceedings was held at this time and 15 16 is contained in a separate 17 transcript.) CHAIRMAN SCOTT: We will move on to the Public 18 19 Utility agenda and begin with approval of minutes 20 from our April 18 Bench Session. I understand amendments have been forwarded. 21 22 Is there a motion to amend the

1 minutes?

COMMISSIONER COLGAN: So moved. 2 3 CHAIRMAN SCOTT: Is there a second? 4 ACTING COMMISSIONER McCABE: Second. CHAIRMAN SCOTT: It's been moved and seconded. 5 6 All in favor say aye. COMMISSIONERS: Aye. 7 CHAIRMAN SCOTT: Any opposed? 8 9 (No response.) 10 The vote is five to nothing, and the 11 amendments are adopted. 12 Is there a motion to approve the April 13 18 minutes as amended? 14 COMMISSIONER COLGAN: So moved. 15 CHAIRMAN SCOTT: Is there a second? ACTING COMMISSIONER McCABE: Second. 16 17 CHAIRMAN SCOTT: It's been moved and seconded. 18 All in favor say aye. 19 COMMISSIONERS: Aye. 20 CHAIRMAN SCOTT: Any opposed? 21 (No response.) 22 The vote is five to nothing, and the

April 18 Bench Session minutes as amended are
approved.

3 Turning to the Electric portion of 4 today's agenda, Items E-1 and E-2 can be taken 5 together. These items concern proposed tariff changes by Ameren and ComEd. In each case Staff 6 recommends the Commission grant the company's request 7 by not suspending the filing. 8 9 Is there any discussion? 10 (No response.) 11 Is there a motion to not suspend the 12 filings? 13 COMMISSIONER COLGAN: So moved. 14 CHAIRMAN SCOTT: Is there a second? COMMISSIONER FORD: 15 Second. CHAIRMAN SCOTT: It's been moved and seconded. 16 17 All in favor say aye. 18 COMMISSIONERS: Aye. 19 CHAIRMAN SCOTT: Any opposed? 20 (No response.) 21 The vote is five to nothing, and the 22 filings will not be suspended.

1 We will use this five to nothing vote for the remainder of today's Public Utility agenda, 2 unless otherwise noted. 3 4 Item E-3 is Docket Number 11-0546. 5 This concerns the evaluation of ComEd's experimental 6 residential realtime pricing program. The parties have entered into a Joint Stipulation in this matter, 7 8 and ALJ Albers recommends entry of an Order directing the continuation of the programs as set forth in the 9 10 stipulation. 11 Is there any discussion? 12 COMMISSIONER O'CONNELL-DIAZ: Mr. Chairman? 13 CHAIRMAN SCOTT: Yes. 14 COMMISSIONER O'CONNELL-DIAZ: Mr. Chairman, I 15 am sorry. 16 CHAIRMAN SCOTT: No, go ahead. 17 COMMISSIONER O'CONNELL-DIAZ: Could we hold this until next week? I just wanted to look at it a 18 19 little more closely. I had a few questions and I would benefit from the extra time. 20 21 CHAIRMAN SCOTT: Sure. I don't believe there is a deadline issue with it. 22

1 COMMISSIONER O'CONNELL-DIAZ: No. That will be fine. 2 CHAIRMAN SCOTT: Then Item E-3 will be held for further Commission meeting. 3 Item E-4 is Docket Number 11-0692. 4 5 This is ComEd's application for an amendment to a 6 certificate granted to it regarding the construction, operation and maintenance of transmission lines in 7 8 Cook County. ALJ Kimbrel recommends entry of an Interim Order allowing the company to move forward 9 10 with a portion of its proposed transmission work. 11 Is there any discussion? 12 (No response.) 13 Any objections? (No response.) 14 15 Hearing none, the Interim Order is 16 entered. 17 Item E-5 is Docket Number 12-0244. This is Ameren's petition for the approval of its 18 19 Advanced Metering Infrastructure Deployment Plan. Up 20 for consideration today is whether to hold an oral 21 argument in this docket. I know we have received a 22 request from Ameren for oral argument and we may

receive other requests later today	receive	other	requests	later	today.
------------------------------------	---------	-------	----------	-------	--------

Should we choose to grant oral 2 argument in this matter, we would be looking at 3 4 holding oral argument at 11:00 a.m. on May 22 at the Commission's Springfield offices, and as today is May 5 16 and we would be holding oral argument on less than 6 seven days notice, we need to vote to waive the 7 seven-day notice requirement present in Section 8 9 200.850(a) of Title 83 of the Administrative Code. 10 That waiver would be made under Section 200.30 of the Code and would be based on a majority vote. 11 12 I think the rationale for granting 13 that, should you choose to do that, would be that the 14 60-day time frame for the docket is incredibly small, 15 and just receiving the request for oral argument, in order to give it, the oral argument, proper weight, 16 17 you would need to do that fairly quickly so that it could be incorporated into any findings that might be 18 made then and help us in our deliberation. 19 20 So the question is whether or not to 21 have oral argument. I am going to move to grant oral

22 argument in this matter and also move to waive the

1 seven-day requirement for notice of oral argument for the reasons I just stated. In terms of why I would 2 move to grant oral argument, I think what's at issue 3 4 here is inherently a legal argument, whether the plan 5 as submitted by Ameren comports to the statute or not. And also, since it is a band new statute, oral 6 argument I think could be beneficial to the 7 8 Commission in sorting through the various legal 9 issues that have been proposed there. 10 So I am going to move to grant oral argument and also at the same time to waive the 11 12 seven-day notice requirement. 13 Is there a second? 14 COMMISSIONER O'CONNELL-DIAZ: Second. 15 CHAIRMAN SCOTT: Is there further discussion on 16 the motion? 17 (No response.) 18 All in favor of the motion say aye. 19 COMMISSIONERS: Aye. 20 CHAIRMAN SCOTT: Any opposed? 21 (No response.) 22 The vote is five to nothing. The

1 motion for oral argument is granted, and the motion 2 to waive the seven-day notice requirement is granted. Oral argument will take place at 11:00 a.m. on May 22 3 4 at the Commission offices in Springfield. Item E-6 is Docket Number 10-0520. 5 This is our investigation into whether ComEd has 6 complied with Section 8-103 of the Public Utilities 7 Act regarding meeting energy saving pools through its 8 energy efficiency programs. ALJ Haynes recommends 9 10 entry of an Order finding compliance and allowing ComEd to bank 39,369 megawatt hours of savings for 11 12 compliance with the future years' goals. 13 Is there any discussion? 14 (No response.) 15 I just briefly wanted to say that I 16 thought this was extremely well done in terms of 17 setting out the issues and working through them by Judge Haynes in this matter, a very complicated area, 18 and I just thought it was very well done and very 19 well laid out for us. 20 Further discussion? 21 22 COMMISSIONER COLGAN: I will ditto your

1 comment. You and I both briefly discussed this 2 yesterday. I thought it was well-written and informative and clear and easy to understand, so. 3 4 JUDGE HAYNES: Thank you. 5 CHAIRMAN SCOTT: Thank you. Further discussion? 6 7 (No response.) 8 Are there any objections? COMMISSIONER O'CONNELL-DIAZ: Made her job 9 10 easier. 11 CHAIRMAN SCOTT: Are there any objections? 12 (No response.) 13 Hearing none, the Order is entered. 14 Item E-7 is Docket Number 11-0787. 15 This is Shauna Breckenridge's complaint against ComEd 16 regarding low hanging wires and the replacement of 17 utility poles. ALJ Haynes recommends entry of an Order denying the complaint but requiring the company 18 19 to put up a new pole to maintain clearance over the 20 adjoining road. 21 Is there any discussion? 22 (No response.)

1	Any objections?
2	(No response.)
3	Hearing none, the Order is entered.
4	Items E-8 through E-11 (11-0645,
5	11-0720, 11-0769, 12-0188) can be taken together.
6	These items are customer complaints against ComEd and
7	Ameren. In each case the parties have apparently
8	settled their differences and have brought a Joint
9	Motion to Dismiss which the ALJ recommends we grant.
10	Is there any discussion?
11	(No response.)
12	Any objections?
13	(No response.)
14	Hearing none, the Joint Motions to
15	Dismiss are granted.
16	Item E-12 is Docket Number 12-0166.
17	This is Power2Switch's application for the
18	cancellation of its existing Certificate of Service
19	Authority to Operate as an Agent, Broker and
20	Consultant and for the approval of a new certificate.
21	ALJ Albers recommends entry of an Order granting the
22	new certificate and cancelling the prior certificate.

1	Is there any discussion?
2	(No response.)
3	Any objections?
4	(No response.)
5	Hearing none, the Order is entered.
6	Items E-13 and E-14 (12-0237, 12-0318)
7	can be taken together. These are applications for
8	licensure as an Agent, Broker and Consultant under
9	Section 16-115C of the Public Utilities Act. In each
10	case ALJ Albers recommends entry of an Order granting
11	the requested certificate.
12	Is there any discussion?
13	(No response.)
14	Any objections?
15	(No response.)
16	Hearing none, the Orders are entered.
17	Items E-15 and E-16 (12-0308, 12-0317)
18	can be taken together. These items are petitions for
19	confidential and/or proprietary treatment of the
20	petitioners' compliance reports. In each case the
21	ALJ recommends entry of an Order granting the
22	requested treatment.

1	Is there any discussion?
2	(No response.)
3	Any objections?
4	(No response.)
5	Hearing none, the Orders are entered.
6	Turning now to Natural Gas, Item G-1
7	(12-0348) concerns initiating a rulemaking proceeding
8	for Title 83, Part 595 of the Administrative Code
9	concerning gas pipeline safety and accident
10	reporting. Staff recommends entry of an Order
11	re-initiating the rulemaking.
12	Is there any discussion?
13	(No response.)
14	Any objections?
15	(No response.)
16	Hearing none, the Initiating Order is
17	entered.
18	Items G-2 and G-3 can be taken
19	together. These items concern proposed tariff
20	changes filed by Mt. Carmel Public Utility Company.
21	For each item Staff recommends allowing the company's
22	request by not suspending the filing.

1	Is there any discussion?
2	(No response.)
3	Any objections?
4	(No response.)
5	Hearing none, the filings will not be
6	suspended.
7	Item G-4 is Docket Number 10-0565 and
8	10-0566 Consolidated. This is the Peoples/North
9	Shore reconciliation proceeding concerning revenues
10	collected under Rider EEP and expenditures made on
11	the companies' energy efficiency programs. ALJ
12	Haynes recommends entry of an Order approving the
13	reconciliation as adjusted by Staff.
14	Is there any discussion?
15	(No response.)
16	Any objections?
17	(No response.)
18	Hearing none, the Order is entered.
19	Item G-5 is Docket Numbers 11-0280 and
20	11-0281 Consolidated. This is the Peoples/North
21	Shore rate case from 2011, and before us today is
22	another Amendatory Order in connection with motions

1 for clarification we received from the utilities and 2 from the Attorney General. ALJs Hilliard and Kimbrel recommend entry of a Revised Amendatory Order 3 4 addressing issues surrounding the utilities' duties with respect to Rider VBA. 5 Is there any discussion? 6 (No response.) 7 8 Are there any objections to entering the Amendatory Order? 9 10 (No response.) 11 Hearing none, the Amendatory Order is 12 entered. 13 Item G-6 is Docket Number 11-0771. 14 This is Dale Martin's complaint against Nicor. The 15 parties have apparently settled their differences and 16 have brought a Joint Motion to Dismiss, which ALJ 17 Riley recommends we grant. 18 Is there any discussion? 19 (No response.) 20 Any objections? 21 (No response.) 22 Hearing none, the Joint Motion to

1 Dismiss is granted.

2	Item G-7 is Docket Number 11-0710.
3	This is a proceeding surrounding approval of the
4	Chicago Clean Energy Project sourcing agreement, and
5	this matter is currently on rehearing. We will hold
6	disposition of this matter on rehearing until a
7	future Commission proceeding. For today we have a
8	request for oral argument brought by CCE which ALJ
9	Wallace recommends we deny.
10	Is there any discussion on the request
11	for oral argument?
12	(No response.)
13	Are there any objections to denying
14	CCE's request for oral argument?
15	(No response.)
16	Hearing none, CCE's request for oral
17	argument is denied.
18	Item G-8 is Docket Number 12-0227.
19	This is Vanguard Energy Services' application for an
20	expansion of its authority to operate as an
21	alternative gas supplier. ALJ Yoder recommends entry
22	of an Order granting the amended certificate.

1	Is there any discussion?
2	(No response.)
3	Any objections?
4	(No response.)
5	Hearing none, the Order is entered.
6	Item G-9 is Docket Number 12-0285.
7	This concerns a filing made by Peoples Gas seeking
8	authorization to issue up to \$50 million of bonds or
9	notes to provide funds for capital expenditures and
10	other general corporate utility purposes. ALJ Haynes
11	recommends entry of an Order approving the request.
12	Is there any discussion?
13	(No response.)
14	Any objections?
15	(No response.)
16	Hearing none, the Order is entered.
17	Moving on to Telecommunications, Item
18	T-1 is Docket Number 11-0225. This is a citation
19	proceeding against Metrotel Communications regarding
19 20	proceeding against Metrotel Communications regarding failure to file its 2009 Annual Report. ALJ Baker

1	Is there any discussion?
2	(No response.)
3	Any objections?
4	(No response.)
5	Hearing none, the Order is entered,
6	and the company's certificate is revoked.
7	Items T-2 and T-3 (12-0101, 12-0187)
8	can be taken together. These items concern
9	applications by telecommunication carriers seeking a
10	cancellation or withdrawal of Certificates of Service
11	Authority previously granted by the Commission. In
12	each case ALJ Baker recommends entry of an Order
13	granting the certificate cancellation.
14	Is there any discussion?
15	(No response.)
16	Any objections?
17	(No response.)
18	Hearing none, the Orders are entered,
19	and the certificates are cancelled.
20	Item T-4 is Docket Number 12-0107.
21	This is James Paul Miller's complaint against
22	Consolidated Communications. Parties have agreed to

1 dismiss this matter, and ALJ Von Qualen recommends 2 granting dismissal. 3 Is there any discussion? 4 (No response.) 5 Any objections? 6 (No response.) 7 Hearing none, the Motion to Dismiss is 8 granted. 9 Item T-5 is Docket Number 12-0265. 10 This is Union County's petition to modify its 9-1-1 emergency telephone system through opting out some 11 12 customers and rerouting them to Alexander County. 13 ALJ Haynes recommends entry of an Order granting the 14 petition. 15 Is there any discussion? 16 (No response.) 17 Any objections? 18 (No response.) 19 Hearing none, the Order is entered. 20 Item T-6 (12-0349) concerns initiating 21 a rulemaking proceeding for Title 83, Part 725 of the 22 Administrative Code for implementing an Emergency

1 Telephone System Act. Staff recommends entry of an 2 Order initiating the rulemaking proceeding. 3 Is there any discussion? 4 (No response.) 5 Any objections? 6 (No response.) 7 Hearing none, the Initiating Order is 8 entered. Item T-7 is Docket Number 11-0688. 9 10 This is a rulemaking proceeding for Title 83, Part 735 of the Administrative Code. ALJ Riley recommends 11 12 entry of an Order authorizing submission of proposed 13 amendments to the Joint Committee on Administrative 14 Rules. 15 Is there any discussion? 16 (No response.) 17 Any objections? 18 (No response.) 19 Hearing none, the Order is entered. 20 Items T-8 through T-11 (11-0325, 12-0173, 12-0294, 12-0297) can be taken together. 21 22 These items are petitions for confidential and/or

1 proprietary treatment of the petitioners' reports. 2 In each case the ALJ recommends entry of an Order granting the requested treatment for a period of two 3 4 years. 5 Is there any discussion? 6 (No response.) Any objections? 7 8 (No response.) Hearing none, the Orders are entered. 9 10 Moving on to Water and Sewer, Item W-1 is Docket Numbers 11-0561 through 11-0566 11 12 Consolidated. We will hold this item for disposition 13 at a future Commission meeting. But before we do, I 14 know, Judge Dolan, you have to update us on comments 15 we have received. JUDGE DOLAN: Yes, Chairman. We have -- I will 16 17 go through each docket. In 11-0561 we have 17 11-0562 we have -- I am sorry, we have 17 18 comments. 19 on the first one, 11 on the second one. 11-0563 we also have 17. 11-0564 we have 66 comments. 20 11 - 056521 we have 22 comments. And 11-0566 we have one 22 comment.

1 CHAIRMAN SCOTT: Okay. Thank you, Judge. Again, that item will be held for 2 disposition at a future Commission proceeding. 3 4 We have one Petition for Rehearing 5 today. Item PR-1 is Docket Number 11-0434, and this is the investigation of ComEd's Rate GAP pursuant to 6 Section 9-250 of the Public Utilities Act. The Retail 7 8 Energy Supply Association and Interstate Gas Supply have requested rehearing concerning the definition of 9 10 the term "retail customer." ALJ Baker recommends 11 denying the Petitions for Rehearing. 12 Is there any discussion? 13 (No response.) 14 Are there any objections to denying 15 the Petitions for Rehearing? 16 (No response.) 17 Hearing none, the Petitions for Rehearing are denied. 18 19 We have two procurement related items 20 to discuss today. These concern the acceptance of 21 the Procurement Administrator's selection of winning 22 bids in the recent Ameren and ComEd renewable energy

1 credit RFPs.

2 Is there any discussion? 3 (No response.) 4 Are there any objections to approving 5 the procurement results? 6 (No response.) 7 Hearing none, the procurement results 8 are approved. 9 We have three FERC items up for 10 consideration today. As those concern pending litigation, we will address them in Closed Session. 11 12 Is there a motion to go into Closed 13 Session? 14 COMMISSIONER COLGAN: So moved. CHAIRMAN SCOTT: Is there a second? 15 16 COMMISSIONER FORD: Second. 17 CHAIRMAN SCOTT: It's been moved and seconded. 18 All in favor say. 19 COMMISSIONERS: Aye. 20 CHAIRMAN SCOTT: Any opposed? 21 (No response.) 22 The vote is five to nothing, and the

1	Commission will now go into Closed Session. Please
2	let me know when we are ready in Chicago.
3	(Whereupon at this point
4	pages 31 - 41 of the
5	proceedings are
6	contained in a separate
7	closed transcript.)
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	

1	CONTINUATION OF PROCEEDINGS
2	CHAIRMAN SCOTT: In Closed Session the
3	Commission discussed filing comments in FERC Docket
4	Numbers ER12-1564, ER12-1577 and ER12-1593. Is there
5	a motion to file comments in these three matters with
6	FERC?
7	COMMISSIONER COLGAN: So moved.
8	CHAIRMAN SCOTT: Is there a second?
9	ACTING COMMISSIONER McCABE: Second.
10	CHAIRMAN SCOTT: It's been moved and seconded.
11	All in favor say aye.
12	COMMISSIONERS: Aye.
13	CHAIRMAN SCOTT: Any opposed?
14	(No response.)
15	The vote is five to nothing, and the
16	comments will be filed in these three matters with
17	FERC.
18	Judge Wallace, any other matters to
19	come before the Commission today?
20	JUDGE WALLACE: No, Mr. Chairman.
21	CHAIRMAN SCOTT: Thank you, sir. Hearing none,
22	the meeting stands adjourned. Thanks, everyone. BENCH SESSION CONCLUDED 42